

Using CAHPS to Improve Quality at the Health Plan Level

Donna O. Farley
Denise Quigley
RAND CAHPS Team





Overview of RAND's Quality Improvement Work



- Year 1 Learn views of health plans about CAHPS and quality improvement activities
- Year 2
 - Test new survey items that are actionable for health plans and providers
 - → Which offer important information
 - → How they correlate to CAHPS items
 - → Strategies health plans can apply to use them
 - Develop tools for health plans to gather QI data and change practices to do better on CAHPS
- Years 3-5 Demonstrations to test QI interventions



Quality Improvement Activity - Year 2



Quality Improvement Team Members – Donna Farley, Denise Quigley, Dennis Scanlon

Activities:

- Interviews with health plans as part of the market research interviews
- Delphi process with health plans to identify priority domains for QI supplemental items
- Development and field test QI supplemental items designed to be more actionable
- Development of case examples of successful CAHPS QI interventions by health plans



Purpose of the Health Plan QI Interviews



- Understand which quality improvement priorities health plans have identified and how consumerreported measures are included
- Document how health plans use CAHPS marketing, quality improvement, other
- Obtain feedback from health plans on the value and limitations of CAHPS for QI
- Identify topics important to health plans for more actionable data from CAHPS



Profile of Health Plans Interviewed



Total of 27 health plans interviewed:

- 4 BCBS plans that do not use the CAHPS survey
- 4 BCBS plans that field CAHPS, but do not report to NCQA Quality Compass
- 19 plans identified from Quality Compass, grouped based on CAHPS performance in 2000 and 2002
 - 5 plans with consistently high CAHPS scores
 - 4 plans with consistently low CAHPS scores
 - 5 plans with improved CAHPS scores
 - 5 plans with declining CAHPS scores



Highlights of Findings from the Health Plan Interviews



- Motivation was confirmed plans valued CAHPS data for some purposes but felt it was not actionable for QI
- Primary uses of CAHPS
 - Trending
 - Benchmarking to other plans
 - o Identification of QI issue areas
- NCQA accreditation was key driver for use of CAHPS survey



Feedback on CAHPS Topic Areas



- The majority of plans felt that CAHPS content topic areas were appropriate and relevant
- Most useful content topic areas:
 - Customer service
 - Access to care
 - Claims and paperwork
- Least useful content topic area provider communication
- Some plans wanted more items on health plan customer service issues
- Some plans felt the specialist referral items were too focused on HMOs



Feedback on CAHPS Limitations



- Plans felt that CAHPS is limited in its ability to establish specific actions and interventions
- Reasons cited were:
 - Data is reported at the plan level
 - Limited specificity in terms of scope of items, unit of analysis, and fit to different type of health plans
 - Data not timely enough to allow for improvements and monitoring



Use of CAHPS and Other Surveys by Health Plans for QI Activities



- Plans supplement CAHPS with more real-time data specific to their markets and problem areas
- Most commonly used sources of other data are one or two other consumer surveys
- Main reason for other surveys to identify what
 QI interventions they should develop and monitor
- Other surveys cited are primarily visit-based and allow for other levels of analysis



Analysis of Health Plan QIAs



- Quality Improvement Activity (QIA) reports required for NCQA accreditation
- Purposes of the QIA analysis
 - Identify and categorize health plans' service-related improvement activities
 - Understand health plan priorities for these activities
 - Have a validity check on information collected in the health plan interviews
- NCQA sent us 224 blinded QIAs on plan services from the 1999 Quality Profile study



Results of QIA Analysis



- Four types of blinded QIA projects on consumer-reported performance measures
 - Member satisfaction
 - Access
 - Member services
 - Availability
- Re-categorized the QIAs according to the details of the issues or aspects of service
- Identified 9 specific service domains



Specific Domains of Service Identified



- Access to care
- Availability of providers
- Complaints and appeals
- Provider communication
- Coordination of care
- Health plan services
- Health plan authorization of care
- Ancillary clinical services
- Preventative care



Steps in the Development of CAHPS QI Supplemental Items



- Delphi process to identify top-rated domains
- Web-based meeting of a technical panel
 - Five health plans with sophisticated data skills
 - Limitation their views may not represent those of the larger population of plans
- Follow-up ranking of domains and topic areas by two other groups of plans
- Development and review of QI survey items
- Work with three health plans to field test the candidate items



Overview of the Delphi Process



- Delphi is a tool to develop consensus among experts on a topic in an open forum
- Recruited 25 plans from the interview process who use CAHPS
- 18 plans participated in two Delphi rounds
- At each level, asked plans to:
 - Rate importance of each domain (1 to 10 scale)
 - Rank domains by relative importance



Priority Service Domains Identified in Delphi Process



<u>Level</u> :	<u>Plan</u>	<u>Group</u>	<u>Clinician</u>
Access to care	3	1	1-2
Availability of providers	2	2	1-2
Complaints and appeals			
Provider communication		3	
Coordination of care			
Health plan services	1		3
Health plan authorization of care			
Ancillary clinical services			
Preventative care		, and the same of	



Highlights of Review Panel Results



- First panel narrowed the domains and ranked them
 - 1- Coordination of care
 - 2- Access to care
 - 3- Information and materials
 - 4- Customer service
- Subsequent panels agreed these were the important domains, but reordered them
 - 1- Access to care
 - 2- Coordination of care
 - 3 or 4 Customer service
 - 3 or 4 Information and materials
- All panels also prioritized the specific topics of interest within each domain at the plan level



Development of QI Supplemental Items



- Developed a pool of candidate items drawn from CAHPS and other surveys
- Items targeted the information needs voiced by plans in the interviews; covered the 9 domains
- Selected items that addressed topics that were important to many plans
 - Iterative selection process
 - o Health plans, NCQA, and CAHPS consortium participated
 - Used information from Delphi and technical panels



Overview of Field Test for QI Supplemental Items



- Three health plans field tested 24 candidate QI supplemental items in their 2004 CAHPS surveys
- NCQA gave exemptions to support field test
 - Allowed unlimited number of supplemental items
 - Agreed to changes to two existing items
- RAND analyzed the items in Summer and Fall 04
- Uses for the QI supplemental items
 - Refined for use in CAHPS 3.0 based on field test results
 - Adapted to be field tested for A-CAHPS



Findings from Analysis of QI Supplemental Items



- Items generally performed well psychometrically
 - Varied from plan to plan
 - Questions on after-hours care have very few responders
 - Three items had large percentages of responses in "other reason"
- Moderate correlations among item responses confirms relationships while each item provides unique information
- As a group, items predict each composite for which they provide "drill down" information



Development of Tools To Support Quality Improvement Activities



- Types of tools
 - Supplemental survey items
 - Other tools to diagnose performance
 - Tools to support QI actions
- Harvard CAHPS implementation guide gives process and examples for implementing practice changes in various settings
- We searched for specific tools difficult because information is decentralized and many tools are not published
- RAND is developing case examples from actual QI activities by health plans



How Information Will Be Used



- Finalize set of QI supplemental items
- Complete the development of case examples as tools for QI implementation
- QI demonstrations in years 3 through 5
- ESRD QI demonstration
- Major issue to be addressed what tools and support are needed to help QI activities by health plans and providers

